

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
VALDOSTA DIVISION

MONSTER ENERGY COMPANY,
a Delaware corporation

Plaintiff,

v.

MONSTER ESCORTS, LLC,
a Georgia limited liability company,

Defendant.

Civil Case No. 7:14-cv-184(HL)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Monster Energy Company (“Plaintiff” or “MEC”), a Delaware corporation, by and through its undersigned attorneys, files this Complaint against Defendant Monster Escorts, LLC (“Defendant”), and alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for (a) trademark infringement under 15 U.S.C. § 1114; (b) trademark infringement, trade dress infringement, and false designation of origin arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); (c) federal dilution arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c); (d) copyright infringement arising under 17 U.S.C. § 501 *et seq.*; (e) trademark dilution arising under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-451(b); (f) deceptive trade practices under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-370 *et seq.*; (g) encroachment arising under

O.C.G.A. § 23-2-55; and (h) unfair competition arising under the common law of the State of Georgia.

2. The Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a) over the claims that relate to trademark infringement, trade dress infringement, false designation of origin, and trademark dilution under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116 and 1121(a) and that relate to copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. § 501 *et seq.*, as these claims arise under the laws of the United States. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) over the claims in this Complaint which arise under state statutory and common law, because the state law claims are so related to the federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy and derive from a common nucleus of operative facts.

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has a continuous, systematic, and substantial presence within this judicial district and within Georgia. Defendant has its principal place of business in this judicial district. Also, by selling and offering for sale infringing goods or services in this judicial district, and by committing acts of trademark infringement, false designation of origin, trademark dilution, copyright infringement, and/or unfair

competition in this judicial district, Defendant's acts form a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to MEC's claims.

4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), as Defendant resides in this Judicial District and a substantial portion of the events herein took place in this Judicial District.

II. THE PARTIES


5. Plaintiff Monster Energy Company is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 1 Monster Way, Corona, California 92879.

6. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Monster Escorts, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, having a principal place of business at 5234 Val Del Road, Adel, Georgia 31620.

III. COMMON ALLEGATIONS FOR ALL CLAIMS OF RELIEF

A. MEC's Trademarks, Trade Dress, and Copyrights

7. MEC is a nationwide leader in the business of developing, marketing, selling, and distributing beverages, including energy drinks.

8. In 2002, long before Defendant's acts described herein, MEC launched its MONSTER ENERGY® drink brand, bearing its now famous ® mark ("Claw Icon Mark"), MONSTER™ mark, MONSTER ENERGY® Mark, and well-known trade dress (hereinafter the "MONSTER Trade Dress"). A true and correct representation of MEC's original MONSTER ENERGY® drink is shown below:
















9. The MONSTER Trade Dress, as shown, for example, above, includes the common elements of a black or black and gray background, a clawed letter "M", a bright contrasting accent color, the word "MONSTER" in a white, stylized font, and includes an overall aggressive, edgy theme.

10. MEC is also the owner of numerous trademark registrations for marks that incorporate its famous Claw Icon Mark, and many of which also include its

famous MONSTERTM and MONSTER ENERGY[®] Marks, including the following

U.S. Trademark Registrations:

MARK	REG. NO.	GOODS/SERVICES	DATE FILED	REG. DATE
	2,903,214	Drinks, namely, carbonated soft drinks, carbonated drinks enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, amino acids and/or herbs, carbonated and non-carbonated energy or sports drinks, fruit juice drinks having a juice content of 50% or less by volume that are shelf stable, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	05/07/2003	11/16/2004
	3,434,821	Nutritional supplements	09/07/2007	05/27/2008
	3,434,822	Non-alcoholic beverages, namely, energy drinks, excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy	09/07/2007	05/27/2008
	3,963,668	Stickers; sticker kits comprising stickers and decals; decals; posters	07/28/2010	05/17/2011
	3,963,669	All purpose sport bags; all-purpose carrying bags; backpacks; duffel bags	07/28/2010	05/17/2011
	4,011,301	Sports helmets; video recordings featuring sports, extreme sports, and motor sports	07/27/2010	08/16/2011
	4,051,650	Clothing, namely, t-shirts, hooded shirts and hooded sweatshirts, sweat shirts, jackets, pants, bandanas, sweat bands and gloves; headgear, namely	07/28/2010	11/08/2011

MARK	REG. NO.	GOODS/SERVICES	DATE FILED	REG. DATE
		hats and beanies		
	4,332,062	Silicone wrist bands; Silicone bracelets; Jewelry, namely, bracelets and wristbands	10/05/2012	05/07/2013
	3,134,841	Beverages, namely, carbonated soft drinks, carbonated soft drinks enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, amino acids and/or herbs, carbonated energy and sports drinks, fruit juice drinks having a juice content of 50% or less by volume that are shelf stable, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	05/07/2003	08/29/2006
	3,908,600	Stickers; sticker kits comprising stickers and decals; decals	04/02/2009	01/18/2011
	3,908,601	Clothing, namely, t-shirts, hooded shirts and hooded sweatshirts, sweat shirts, jackets, pants, bandanas, sweat bands and gloves; headgear, namely, hats and beanies	04/02/2009	01/18/2011
	3,914,828	Sports helmets	04/02/2009	02/01/2011
	3,923,683	All purpose sport bags; All-purpose carrying bags; Backpacks; Duffle bags	04/02/2009	02/22/2011

11. MEC is also the owner of numerous other trademark registrations for its famous MONSTER ENERGY[®] Mark and for other marks incorporating its famous MONSTER[™] mark, including the following U.S. Trademark Registrations:

MARK	REG. NO.	GOODS/SERVICES	DATE FILED	REG. DATE
MONSTER ENERGY	3,044,315	Nutritional supplements in liquid form, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	5/23/03	01/17/06
M MONSTER ENERGY	3,044,314	Nutritional supplements in liquid form, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	5/23/03	01/17/06
MONSTER ENERGY	3,057,061	Fruit juice drinks having a juice content of 50% or less by volume that are shelf stable, carbonated soft drinks, carbonated drinks enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, amino acids and/or herbs, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	04/18/02	02/07/06
M MONSTER ENERGY	3,134,842	Beverages, namely, carbonated soft drinks, carbonated drinks enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, amino acids and/or herbs, carbonated energy or sports drinks, fruit juice drinks having a juice content of 50% or less by volume that are shelf stable, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	05/07/03	08/29/06

MARK	REG. NO.	GOODS/SERVICES	DATE FILED	REG. DATE
MONSTER ENERGY	4,036,680	Nutritional supplements in liquid form	09/11/07	10/11/11
MONSTER ENERGY	4,036,681	Non-alcoholic beverages, namely, energy drinks, excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy	09/11/07	10/11/11
MONSTER REHAB	4,111,964	Ready to drink tea, iced tea and tea based beverages; ready to drink flavored tea, iced tea and tea based beverages	08/24/2011	03/13/2012
MONSTER REHAB	4,129,288	Nutritional supplements in liquid form Beverages, namely, non-alcoholic non-carbonated drinks enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, proteins, amino acids and/or herbs; non-carbonated energy or sports drinks, fruit juice drinks having a juice content of 50% or less by volume that are shelf-stable; all the foregoing goods exclude perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	07/06/2010	04/17/2012
MONSTER CUBA-LIMA	4,269,880	Nutritional supplements in liquid form Non-alcoholic beverages, namely, energy drinks, energy drinks flavored with juice, sports drinks, all enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, proteins, amino acids, and/or herbs, but excluding perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	06/14/2012	01/01/2013
MUSCLE MONSTER	4,376,796	Nutritional supplements in liquid form Beverages, namely, soft drinks; non-alcoholic and non-carbonated drinks enhanced with vitamins, minerals,	07/02/2010	07/30/2013


MARK	REG. NO.	GOODS/SERVICES	DATE FILED	REG. DATE
		nutrients, proteins, amino acids and/or herbs; non-carbonated energy or sports drinks; all the foregoing goods exclude perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not		
MUSCLE MONSTER	4,451,535	Vitamin fortified beverages Dairy-based beverages; dairy-based energy shakes; energy shakes; coffee energy shakes; chocolate energy shakes Ready to drink coffee based beverages; ready to drink chocolate-based beverages	07/10/2013	12/17/2013
MONSTER ENERGY ULTRA RED	4,532,292	Nutritional supplements in liquid form ; vitamin fortified beverages Non-alcoholic beverages, namely, energy drinks, soft drinks, sports drinks, all enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, amino acids and/or herbs; all the foregoing goods exclude perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	06/13/2013	05/20/2014
MONSTER ENERGY ULTRA BLUE	4,534,414	Nutritional supplements in liquid form; vitamin fortified beverages Non-alcoholic beverages, namely, energy drinks, soft drinks, sports drinks, all enhanced with vitamins, minerals, nutrients, amino acids and/or herbs; all the foregoing goods exclude perishable beverage products that contain fruit juice or soy, whether such products are pasteurized or not	01/02/2013	05/20/2014

12. Attached hereto as Exhibits A1-A26 are true and correct copies of MEC's trademark registrations identified in paragraphs 10-11 of this Complaint, which are hereby incorporated by reference. Collectively, those registrations and trademarks referenced in paragraphs 10-11 of this Complaint are referred to as the "Asserted Marks."

13. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065, U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 2,903,214, 3,134,841, 3,434,822, 3,434,821, 3,044,315, 3,044,314, 3,057,061, and 3,134,842 are now incontestable.

14. In addition, MEC is the owner of valid copyright registrations for its Claw Icon and can art and packaging designs featuring its Claw Icon Mark, including at least U.S. Copyright Registration Nos. VA 1-727-577, VA 1-737-654, VA 1-749-215, and VA 1-789-900. Attached hereto as Exhibits B through E are true and correct copies of MEC's copyright registrations.

15. MEC's successful line of MONSTERTM drinks has now grown to include numerous other well-known products, including, but not limited to, original Monster Energy[®] and Lo-Carb Monster Energy[®]; MonsterTM Assault[®]; Juice MonsterTM Khaos[®]; Juice MonsterTM RipperTM, Ubermonster[®]; Monster Energy[®] Import and Monster Energy[®] Import Light; Punch Monster[®]; Monster Energy[®] Absolutely Zero; Monster Energy[®] Zero Ultra; Monster Energy[®] Ultra

Blue; Monster Energy[®] Ultra Red; Monster Cuba-Lima[®]; Monster Rehab[®], which is a line of non-carbonated energy drinks, which includes Monster Energy Company's Monster Rehab[®] Rojo Tea + Energy, Monster Rehab[®] Green Tea + Energy, Monster Rehab[®] Tea + Orangeade + Energy, Monster Rehab[®] Tea + Pink Lemonade + Energy, and Monster Rehab[®] Tea + Lemonade + Energy products; Java Monster[®], which is a line of dairy based coffee plus energy drinks; Muscle Monster[®], which is a line of energy shakes; Monster Energy Extra Strength Nitrous Technology[®], which is a line of energy drinks with a blend of nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide to create a smoother energy drink; and  [®] Monster Energy[®] which is a five ounce super concentrated energy drink, among others (referred to collectively as "MONSTER[™] line of energy drinks").

16. Shown below are true and accurate representative pictures illustrating some of MEC's MONSTER[™] line of drinks.





17. As shown in the images above, the containers of MEC's MONSTER™ line of drinks are prominently marked with MEC's Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark. Some also bear the MONSTER Trade Dress.

18. MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and MONSTER Trade Dress are the subject of substantial and continuous marketing and promotion by MEC in connection with its MONSTER™ line of drinks and MONSTER™ apparel and accessories. Since 2002, MEC has spent approximately \$3 billion dollars on promoting and marketing its MONSTER™ brand.

19. MEC has and continues to widely market and promote its Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and MONSTER Trade Dress in the industry and to consumers by displaying the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress on more than 10 billion cans sold worldwide to date. MEC's promotional efforts also include – by way of example but not limitation – widespread distribution of promotional and point of sale materials, product samplings, apparel and merchandise bearing the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress, trucks and other vehicles bearing the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress, in magazines and other industry publications, on the MONSTER ENERGY® website and other Internet websites, attendance at trade shows, and sponsorship of concert tours, live events, athletes, athletic teams, and athletic competitions around the world.

20. Shown below are true and accurate representative pictures illustrating just some of the examples of MEC's marketing and promotional efforts that use the

Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and MONSTER Trade Dress on and in connection with vehicles.



21. MEC also sponsors numerous athletic events in connection with its MONSTER™ brand drinks, apparel, and accessories bearing the Claw Icon Mark and/or MONSTER™ mark. For example, MEC sponsors or has sponsored numerous sporting events, including the MONSTER ENERGY® Billabong XXL Awards from 2005 to 2012, the MONSTER ENERGY® AMA Supercross Series, Road Racing World Championship Grand Prix (“MotoGP”) races, MX2 FIM Motocross World Championships, the Motocross of Nations, the MX3 FIM Motocross World Championship, the FIM MX Junior World Championship, the UEM EMX2 European Motocross Championship, and the X Games. At MEC-sponsored events, MEC’s Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress are prominently promoted at the tracks, on banners, and on large transport, support, and hospitality tractor trailers, motor homes, and other promotional vehicles.

22. In addition to the sporting events above, MEC also sponsors more than 200 athletes or athletic teams who compete in a wide-variety of sports, including motocross, MotoGP, AMA Superbike, Supercross, World Superbike, surfing, skateboarding, wakeboarding, skiing, snowboarding, BMX, mountain biking, snowmobile racing, off-road racing, off-road truck racing, and various classes of NASCAR racing. Many of the events that the MEC-sponsored athletes and athletic teams compete in are broadcast nationally on television, and all of them prominently display the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTERTM mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress.

23. Examples of the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTERTM mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress appearing on vehicles as part of MEC's sponsorship activities are shown, for example, below.





24. MEC's MONSTERTM family of products has achieved substantial commercial success. Worldwide retail sales now exceed 2 billion cans per year with estimated retail sales exceeding US\$5 billion per year worldwide. MEC's MONSTER ENERGY[®] brand has established itself as the best-selling energy drink brand in the United States.

25. As a result of MEC's substantial use and promotion of its Claw Icon Mark, MONSTERTM mark, MONSTER Trade Dress, and other Asserted Marks in connection with its MONSTERTM family of products, the marks and trade dress have acquired great value as specific identifiers of MEC's products and serve to identify and distinguish MEC's MONSTERTM products from those of others. Customers in this judicial district and elsewhere readily recognize MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTERTM mark, MONSTER Trade Dress, and other Asserted Marks as distinctive designations of the origin of MEC's MONSTERTM and MONSTER ENERGY[®] brand drinks, accessories, clothing products, sports bags and backpacks, sports gear and other products and promotional items. The marks

and trade dress are intellectual property assets of enormous value as symbols of MEC and its quality products, reputation, and goodwill.

B. Defendant's Activities

26. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant is engaged in the business of offering wide-load escort car services. Defendant offers escort or pilot cars that travel with trucks or other shipping vehicles carrying over-size loads on highways and other roadways.

27. Without permission or consent from MEC, Defendant is using MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and MONSTER Trade Dress in connection with Defendant's business. For example, as shown in the photographs below of Defendant's vehicles, Defendant is prominently using MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and in some instances MONSTER Trade Dress, on its vehicles.





28. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant operates at least 20 vehicles bearing copies of MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and/or MONSTER Trade Dress, and that at least one of those vehicles has been involved in a serious accident.

29. At no time has MEC ever given Defendant license, permission or authority to use or display MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, MONSTER Trade Dress, or any of MEC's other Asserted Marks.

30. On or about June 6, 2014, MEC caused to be delivered to Defendant a cease and desist letter notifying Defendant of MEC's rights in the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and MONSTER Trade Dress, and of MEC's copyright registrations that prominently feature the Claw Icon. The letter notified Defendant that its activities constituted trademark infringement, trademark dilution, false

designation of origin, copyright infringement, and unfair competition, and demanded that Defendant, *inter alia*, cease all use of MEC's marks and trade dress. Despite having received this letter, and other follow-up correspondence from MEC, Defendant has blatantly continued its unauthorized use of MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, and MONSTER Trade Dress.

31. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant is using MEC's Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress in an attempt to falsely associate its business and the goods and services it offers with MEC or to otherwise trade upon MEC's valuable reputation and customer goodwill in its MONSTER™ family of products.

32. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's use of MEC's Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress is designed and intended to cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the source of the goods and services that Defendant offers.

33. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's uses of MEC's Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress are intended to cause consumers and potential customers to believe that Defendant's business and the goods and services that Defendant offers are associated with MEC or MEC's MONSTER™ family of products, when they are not.

34. By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has created a likelihood of injury to MEC's business reputation and goodwill, caused a likelihood of consumer confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source of origin or relationship of MEC and Defendant and MEC's and Defendant's goods and/or services, and has otherwise competed unfairly by unlawfully trading on and using MEC's Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress, or marks and trade dress confusingly similar thereto, without MEC's permission or consent.

35. At no time has MEC ever given Defendant license, permission, or authority to reproduce, prepare derivative works, or to distribute copies of MEC's Copyrighted Works.

36. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant has unlawfully copied protected elements of MEC's Copyrighted Works.

37. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant had access to MEC's Copyrighted Works at least because MEC uses its copyrights on its packaging (which is displayed at retail stores that are open to the public), its widespread promotional materials, and its publicly-available website. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant accessed this publicly available information.

38. Defendant, as reflected, for example, on its vehicles, has used and is using protected elements of MEC's Copyrighted Works, and has used and is using elements that are substantially similar to protected elements of MEC's Copyrighted Works.

39. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's acts complained of herein are willful and deliberate.

40. Defendant's acts complained of herein have caused damage to MEC in an amount to be determined at trial. Such damages will continue to increase unless Defendant is enjoined from its wrongful acts and infringement.

41. Defendant's acts complained of herein also have caused MEC to suffer further irreparable injury to its business. MEC will continue to suffer substantial loss of goodwill and reputation unless and until Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from its wrongful acts complained of herein.

IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trademark Infringement under 15 U.S.C. § 1114)

42. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-41 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

43. This is a claim for trademark infringement arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

44. Defendant has used in commerce, without permission of MEC, reproductions, copies or colorable imitations of at least MEC's marks that are the subject of MEC's U.S. Trademark Registrations listed above in paragraphs 10-11 of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 2,903,214; 3,434,821; 3,434,822; 3,963,668; 3,963,669; 4,011,301; and 4,051,650, in connection with Defendant's business and the goods and services offered by Defendant. Such use is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive.

45. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's activities complained of herein constitute willful and intentional infringements of MEC's registered marks, and Defendant did so with the intent to trade upon MEC's reputation and goodwill by causing confusion and mistake among customers and the public, and to deceive the public into believing that Defendant or its goods and services are associated with, sponsored by, originated from, or are approved by MEC, when, in truth and fact, they are not.

46. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant had actual knowledge of MEC's ownership and prior use of MEC's

registered marks, and without the consent of MEC, has willfully and intentionally violated 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

47. Defendant, by its actions, has damaged MEC in an amount to be determined at trial.

48. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

V. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trademark Infringement, Trade Dress Infringement, and False Designation of Origin under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

49. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-48 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

50. This is a claim for trademark infringement, trade dress infringement, and false designation of origin arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

51. As a result of the widespread use and promotion of MEC's Asserted Marks, including the Claw Icon and MONSTER™ marks, and the MONSTER Trade Dress, the Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress have acquired secondary meaning to consumers and potential customers, in that consumers and

potential customers have come to associate the Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress with MEC.

52. Defendant has infringed MEC's Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress, and created a false designation of origin, by using in commerce, without MEC's permission, marks confusingly similar to MEC's Asserted Marks and trade dress confusingly similar to the MONSTER Trade Dress in connection with Defendant's business and the goods and services offered by Defendant.

53. Defendant's actions are likely to cause confusion and mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of MEC with Defendant, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's goods, services, or commercial activities, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

54. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant did so with the intent to trade upon MEC's reputation and goodwill by causing confusion and mistake among customers and the public and to deceive the public into believing that Defendant or the goods and services offered by Defendant are associated with, sponsored by, or approved by MEC, when they are not.

55. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant had actual knowledge of MEC's ownership and prior use of the

Asserted Marks and MONSTER Trade Dress, and without the consent of MEC, has willfully and intentionally violated 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

56. Defendant, by its actions, has damaged MEC in an amount to be determined at trial.

57. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

VI. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Federal Dilution under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))

58. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-57 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

59. This is a claim for federal trademark dilution arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

60. Products sold under MEC's Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark have been widely advertised, promoted, and distributed to the purchasing public throughout the United States and the world. By virtue of the wide renown acquired by MEC's Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark, coupled with the national and international distribution and extensive sale of various products distributed under

the Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark, MEC's Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark are famous, and became so prior to Defendant's acts complained of herein.

61. Defendant's unauthorized commercial use of MEC's Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark in connection with Defendant's business and the goods and services offered by Defendant has caused and is likely to continue to cause dilution of the distinctive qualities of the famous Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark.

62. Defendant's acts are likely to tarnish, injure, or trade upon MEC's business, reputation or goodwill, and to deprive MEC of the ability to control the use of its Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark, and quality of products associated therewith.

63. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's acts of dilution have been willful and deliberate.

64. Defendant, by its actions, has damaged MEC in an amount to be determined at trial.

65. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently

enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

VII. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Copyright Infringement Under 17 U.S.C. § 501 *et seq.*)

66. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-65 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

67. This is an action for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, *et seq.*

68. MEC is the owner of valid and enforceable copyrights in the Claw Icon Mark, can art and packaging, which contain copyrightable subject matter under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, *et seq.* ("Copyrighted Works").

69. MEC has complied with the registration requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 411(a) for the Copyrighted Works, and has obtained Copyright Registration Nos. VA 1-727-577, VA 1-737-654, VA 1-749-215 and VA 1-789-900.

70. Defendant's deliberate copying of MEC's Copyrighted Works infringes and continues to infringe MEC's copyrights in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501(a). Defendant is directly infringing on MEC's exclusive right to reproduce copies, make derivative works and distribute copies of its Copyrighted Works under 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1)–(3).

71. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's infringement has been willful and deliberate.

72. Defendant, by its actions, has damaged MEC in an amount to be determined at trial.

73. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

VIII. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Georgia Statutory Trademark Dilution Under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-451(b))

74. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-73 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

75. This is a claim for trademark dilution arising under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-451(b).

76. Defendant's unauthorized and commercial use of the Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER mark in connection with its business and the goods and services offered by Defendant has caused and is likely to continue to cause dilution of the distinctive quality of MEC's famous Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark.

77. Defendant's acts are likely to tarnish, injure, or trade upon MEC's business, reputation or goodwill, and to deprive MEC of the ability to control the use of its Claw Icon Mark and MONSTERTM mark, and quality of products associated therewith.

78. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's acts of dilution have been willful and deliberate.

79. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

IX. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Georgia Common-Law Unfair Competition)

80. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-79 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

81. This is a claim for unfair competition arising under Georgia common law.

82. Defendant's acts complained of herein constitute trademark infringement and unfair competition under Georgia common law.

83. By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has caused a likelihood of confusion among the purchasing public in this Judicial District and elsewhere, thereby unfairly competing with MEC in violation of the common law of the state of Georgia.

84. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's actions have been willful and deliberate.

85. Defendant, by its actions, has damaged MEC in an amount to be determined at trial.

86. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

X. SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Deceptive Trade Practices Under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-372)

87. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-86 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

88. This is a claim for deceptive trade practices arising under O.C.G.A. § 10-1-372.

89. By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has caused a likelihood of confusion among the purchasing public in this Judicial District and elsewhere, thereby engaging in deceptive trade practices in violation of O.C.G.A. § 10-1-372.

90. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's actions have been willful and deliberate.

91. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

XI. EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Encroachment in Violation of O.C.G.A. § 23-2-55)

92. MEC hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-91 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

93. This is a claim for encroachment arising under O.C.G.A. § 23-2-55.

94. By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has caused a likelihood of confusion among the purchasing public in this Judicial District and elsewhere, thereby engaging in encroachment in violation of O.C.G.A. § 23-2-55.

95. MEC is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's actions have been willful and deliberate.

96. Defendant, by its actions, has irreparably injured MEC. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of MEC's rights, for which MEC has no adequate remedy at law.

XII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff MEC respectfully requests the Court to award MEC the following relief:

A. That the Court render a final judgment in favor of MEC and against Defendant on all claims for relief herein;

B. That the Court render a final judgment declaring Defendant has violated and willfully violated the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1114 by infringing MEC's trademark rights in its U.S. Trademark Registrations listed above in paragraphs 10-11 of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 2,903,214; 3,434,821; 3,434,822; 3,963,668; 3,963,669; 4,011,301; and 4,051,650;

C. That the Court render a final judgment declaring that Defendant has violated and willfully violated the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) by diluting

MEC's famous Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark;

D. That the Court render a final judgment declaring that Defendant has violated and willfully violated the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) by infringing MEC's Asserted Marks, including the Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark, and by infringing MEC's MONSTER Trade Dress;

E. That the Court render a final judgment declaring that Defendant has violated and willfully violated 17 U.S.C. § 501(a) by infringing MEC's Copyrighted Works;

F. That the Court render a final judgment declaring that Defendant has violated and willfully violated O.C.G.A. § 10-1-451(b) by diluting MEC's famous Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark;

G. That the Court render a final judgment declaring Defendant has violated and willfully violated Georgia common law by unfairly competing with MEC;

H. That the Court render a final judgment declaring Defendant has violated and willfully violated O.C.G.A. § 10-1-372 by engaging in deceptive trade practices;

I. That the Court enter a final judgment declaring Defendant has violated and willfully violated O.C.G.A. § 23-2-55 by encroaching upon MEC's

trademark rights;

J. That Defendant, its officers, principals, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors, and assigns and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, be forthwith temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoined from:

a. using MEC's Asserted Marks, including the Claw Icon Mark and MONSTER™ mark, or MONSTER Trade Dress in connection with Defendant's business or the goods or services offered by Defendant, in advertising, promoting, selling or offering to sell Defendant's unauthorized goods or services, and/or using confusingly similar variations of the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, MONSTER Trade Dress, or any other of MEC's Asserted Marks in any manner that is likely to create the impression that Defendant or the goods or services offered by Defendant originate from MEC, are endorsed by MEC, or are connected in any way with MEC;

b. manufacturing, distributing, shipping, importing, reproducing, displaying, advertising, marketing, promoting, transferring, selling, and/or offering to sell any unauthorized products or services bearing or using the Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, MONSTER Trade Dress, or any other

of MEC's Asserted Marks, and/or any confusingly similar marks;

c. manufacturing, distributing, shipping, importing, reproducing, displaying, advertising, marketing, promoting, transferring, selling, and/or offering to sell any unauthorized products bearing or using MEC's copyrighted Claw Icon design, copyrighted can designs, or any design substantially similar thereto;

d. without permission or authorization from MEC, copying, reproducing, distributing, displaying, creating derivative works of MEC's copyrighted Claw Icon design and/or copyrighted beverage packaging designs and/or importing, manufacturing, or producing any products bearing copies of MEC's copyrighted Claw Icon design and/or copyrighted can designs;

e. otherwise infringing or diluting MEC's Claw Icon Mark, MONSTER™ mark, MONSTER Trade Dress, or any of MEC's other trademarks;

f. falsely designating the origin of Defendant's goods or services;

g. unfairly competing with MEC in any manner whatsoever; and

h. causing a likelihood of confusion or injury to MEC's business reputation;

K. The Defendant be directed to file with this Court and serve on MEC within thirty (30) days after the service of the injunction, a report, in writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with the injunction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116;

L. That Defendant be required to deliver and destroy all literature, advertising, goods, and other materials bearing the infringing marks or trade dress pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 and 17 U.S.C. § 503;

M. That Defendant be required to account to MEC for any and all profits derived by Defendant and all damages sustained by MEC by virtue of Defendant's acts complained of herein;

N. That Defendant be ordered to pay over to MEC all damages MEC has sustained as a consequence of the acts complained of herein, subject to proof at trial;

O. That MEC be awarded damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

P. That this case be deemed exceptional and the amount of the damages be trebled and that the amount of profits be increased by as many times as the Court deems appropriate, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

Q. That Defendant's actions be deemed willful;

R. That MEC be awarded its damages and Defendant's profits under 17 U.S.C. § 504, as well as enhanced damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504. Alternatively, if MEC elects, that MEC be awarded statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;

S. That an award of reasonable costs, expenses and attorneys' fees be awarded to MEC pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 and 17 U.S.C. § 504;

T. That MEC be awarded restitution and disgorgement; and

U. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Monster Energy Company hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of November, 2014.

s/ Edward F. Preston

Edward F. Preston

Georgia Bar No. 587416

COLEMAN TALLEY LLP

Post Office Box 5437

Valdosta, GA 31603-5437

Telephone: (229) 242-7562

Facsimile: (229) 333-0885

Email: ed.preston@colemantalley.com

To Be Admitted Pro Hac Vice

OF COUNSEL

Lynda J. Zadra-Symes

lynda.zadrasymes@knobbe.com

Matthew S. Bellinger

matt.bellinger@knobbe.com

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street

Fourteenth Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Phone: (949) 760-0404

Facsimile: (949) 760-9502

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

MONSTER ENERGY COMPANY

18932992